UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,200	10/19/2001	Norman Ken Ouchi		2842
41212 NÖRMAN KE	7590 07/12/200 NOUCHI	7	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 201	P.O. BOX 20111		CHOI, PETER H	
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95160		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant **Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/036,200	OUCHI, NORMAN KEN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Peter Choi	3623		

The amendment document filed on 19 April 2007 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the ite

requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other
 ✓ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) ✓ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. ✓ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:
1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment

Т

- filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.
- 2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable

Telephone No.

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation of 4(e) Other: In the amendment filed on April 19, 2007, the status identifier of pending claims 21-39 are incorrect. Claims 1-20 were initially filed on October 19, 2001, and claims 21-39 were added in an amendment received February 16, 2006. Therefore, claims 21-39 are not original claims to the initial disclosure. Status identifiers are relative to the initial disclosure, and not relative to the most current claims on which an Office Action has been received. For example, although claim 22 has not been amended since being added on February 16, 2006, since it was not part of the initial disclosure (limited in this case to claims 1-20), the proper status identifier under 37 CFR 1.121(c) is "Previously presented".

Similarly, any of claims 21-39 that have not been amended since February 16, 2006 (for example, claims 24-28, 35-39) should have one of the following status identifiers: Previously Presented, Previously Amended; Previously Added; Previously Submitted; Previously Presented Claim.

Any of claims 21-39 that have been amended since the most outstanding Office Action received (in this case, any amendments received after the mailing of the Office Action on November 2, 2006) should have one of the following status identifiers: Currently amended, Presently Amended, Currently Amended Claim.

In summary, if the Applicant were to add new claim 40, the proper status identifier would be: "New, Newly added, or New Claim". If the Applicant were to amend one of claims 21-39, the proper status identifier would be: "Currently Amended, Presently Amended, and Currently Amended Claim".

The Examiner also notes that the amendment filed on April 19, 2007 seems to be a modification of the claim amendment submitted on July 23, 2006, and not an updated version of the claim amendment submitted on January 24, 2007. It is unclear whether this was intentional, as the scope of each set of claims is different.